

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	Ī
09/862,471	05/23/2001	Mitsuharu Kawaguchi	NU-01007	7469	
	7590 02/21/200 URTIS & CHRISTOF	EXAMINER			
11491 SUNSE	T HILLS ROAD	TREAT, WILLIAM M			
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_
·		2181			
					_
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	_
			· 02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/862,471	KAWAGUCHI, MIT	SUHARU
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William M. Treat	2181	
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:	
(1) William M. Treat.	(3)		
(2) Marshall M. Curtis.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 February 2007	Time:	•	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	·		·
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summandation. 	e examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the of the interview, since the	substance
WILLIAM M. TREAT PRIMARY EXAMINER			
W S - SI			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if approp	riate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant submitted an amendment after-final and asked if the correction of the spelling error in claim 1 would be entered upon appeal since the examiner had not indicated it would. The examiner called applicant's representative and said the amendment would be entered on appeal and said he would send an interview summary indicating so.